

Planning Team Report

Proposal Title : Proposal Summary :	To rezone land from RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential and amend maximum building and floorspace ratio controls at the former Drummoyne Sports Club, 2A Hythe Street, Drummoyne. The planning proposal seeks to amend the Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 as follows: - rezone the site from RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential; - introduce a maximum building height control of 8.5 metres for the northern portion of the site; and RL 19.9AHD for the remainder of the site; and - introduce a maximum floorspace ratio (FSR) control of 1.1:1.		
PP Number :	PP_2015_CANAD_007_00	Dop File No :	15/15659
roposal Details			
Date Planning Proposal Received :	19-Oct-2015	LGA covered :	Canada Bay
Region :	Metro(CBD)	RPA :	City of Canada Bay Council
State Electorate :	DRUMMOYNE	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : 2A	Hythe Street	10	
Suburb : Dr	ummoyne City :		Postcode :
Land Parcel : Lo	ot 2 DP 861533		
DoP Planning Off	icer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Andrew Watkins		
Contact Number :	0292286558		
Contact Email :	andrew.watkins@planning.nsv	v.gov.au	
RPA Contact Deta	ails		
Contact Name :	Elvie Magallanes		
Contact Number :	0299116405		
Contact Email :	elvie.magallanes@canadabay.	nsw.gov.au	
DoP Project Mana	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Diane Sarkies		
Contact Number :	0292286522		
Contact Email : diane.sarkies@planning.nsw.gov.au			

Land Release Data			
Growth Centre :		Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :		Consistent with Strategy :	
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	The Department of Planning and B Metropolitan (CBD) has not met o planning proposal.		-
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	On 17 August 2015, following the independent pre-Gateway review by the Joint Regional Planning Panel (Panel), the Department determined that the proposal should proceed to the Gateway stage on the following basis: - rezone the site from RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential; - the south-eastern corner of the site to be subject to a maximum building height control of 15 metres (RL 19.9 AHD), with the remainder of the site subject to a maximum building height of 8.5 metres (See Panel's amended master plan drawing at Tab A); - floorspace ratio to be determined by the relevant planning authority, taking into account the setbacks specified in the Panel's advice to the Department and the height controls referred to above (the Panel recommended an FSR between 0.8 and 0.9:1); and - setbacks, deep soil planting between buildings, car parking limitations and public through-site links to be provided by way of a draft DCP to be prepared and exhibited with the planning proposal.		
	The current planning proposal is zoning and height controls (RL 19 (with the exception of a B4 Mixed is subject to maximum building a	9.9 AHD). The surrounding are Use zoned site on the opposi	ea is predominantly zoned R3 ite side of Hythe Street), and
	The proposed FSR exceeds the ra additional 0.2:1 FSR results from supported by the Department in it considered that with appropriate proposal), any potential adverse a prevented or satisfactorily minimi	the provision of 3 affordable I 's PGR determination) to be o DCP controls (to be exhibited amenity impacts resulting from	housing units (which was ledicated to Council. It is with the planning
	The planning proposal itself does submitted traffic and parking revi		

	The site was last used as site has been sold.	a sports/bowling club, but the club operation has ceased and the	
	density location with goo Birkenhead Point Shoppi - activate a redundant pri in a location with good co	ed as it will: of additional housing within an existing urban area, in a medium of access to public transport and Drummoyne village centre, ing Centre, Parramatta and Sydney CBD; and ivately owned facility by contributing to Sydney's housing supply onnectivity to the CBD and in an area that is otherwise listed properties and conservation areas.	
External Supporting Council supports this p Notes : with its Local Planning with the recommendation with the recommendation		anning proposal because it considers the proposal is consistent Strategy 2010-2031, FuturesPlan 20, and is in general accordance ons of the Panel.	
lequacy Assessme	ent		
Statement of the o	bjectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the	objectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The objective of the planning proposal is to enable the site to be developed for the purposes of residential development in general accordance with the recommendations of the Panel.		
Explanation of pro	ovisions provided - s55((2)(b)	
Is an explanation of p	rovisions provided? Yes		
Comment :	The following provisions are proposed: - amend Zoning Map (LZN_006) by rezoning the site to R3 Medium Density Residential; - amend Floor Space Ratio Map (FSR_006) by introducing a maximum FSR of 1.1:1 for the site; - amend Height of Building Map (HOB_006) by introducing a maximum building height control of 8.5 metres for the northern portion of the site, and RL 19.9 for the remainder of the site.		
	This explanation is considered adequate, but for clarity and consistency, proposed building height controls should be expressed in both metres and RL AHD.		
	A DCP is to be prepared in relation to setbacks, deep soil planting between buildings, car parking limitations and public through-site links. Whilst not provided with the planning proposal, it is recommended that a draft DCP be publicly exhibited with the planning proposal.		
Justification - s55	(2)(c)		
a) Has Council's stra	tegy been agreed to by the D	Pirector General? Yes	
b) S.117 directions in	lentified by RPA :	3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	
* May need the Direc	tor General's agreement	 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 	

7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney

пу	the Street, Drummoyne		
	Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes		
	c) Consistent with Standard	Instrument (LEPs) Ord	ler 2006 : Yes
	d) Which SEPPs have the F	RPA identified?	SEPP No 32—Urban Consolidation (Redevelopment of Urban Land) SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 65—Design Quality of Residential Flat Development SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004 SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 SEPP (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004 SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007 SEPP (Major Projects) 2005 SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005
	e) List any other matters that need to be considered :		
	Have inconsistencies with it	ems a), b) and d) being	adequately justified?
	If No, explain :	This Direction seeks land that has acid su proposes to intensif Class 5 on the Cana implications of the p the use of the site is acid sulphate soils s	n 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils: to avoid significant adverse environmental impacts from the use of ulfate soil. The proposal is inconsistent with this Direction because it y the use of the land, which is categorised as part Class 2 and part da Bay LEP 2013 Acid Sulfate Soils Map, but has not considered the presence of acid sulphate soils. The planning proposal states that not proposed to be intensified to such an extent that warrants an study being undertaken, and that this issue can be addressed ment application process.
		Review (PGR) has be the results of testing and analysis should not appear to relate therefore the incons planning proposal d	y Environmental Assessment submitted with the pre-Gateway een provided with the planning proposal. The Assessment provides of or numerous contaminants, and advises that additional sampling be undertaken prior to any excavation work. This assessment does to the presence and implications of acid sulphate soils and istency with the Direction has not been adequately justified. The oes state however, that additional sampling and analysis should be excavation and demolition.
		this Direction will ne It is recommended the consider the Acid Su assessing the appro	the Department's PGR Assessment Report, the inconsistency with eed to be justified prior to public exhibition of the planning proposal. hat prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal be updated to ulfate Soils Planning Guidelines, and an acid sulfate soils study priateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid ordance with this Direction.
		The objective of the land to reduce risk o Environmental Asse	Planning Policy No. 55 Remediation of Land: SEPP is to provide a State-wide approach to the remediation of of harm to human health and the environment. The preliminary ssment referred to above, addresses the issue of contamination in nce of numerous contaminants, but not in relation to Acid Sulfate
		SEPP as a prelimina as a sports/bowling it is unsuitable for re preliminary Environ	e Environmental Assessment demonstrate consistency with the ry assessment has been carried out, and given the history of the site club, it is unlikely that the site is contaminated to such a degree that esidential development, or unable to be remediated. The mental Assessment does not state that the site is unsuitable for the ent, or that it would be unable to be remediated, if required.

Hythe Street, Drummoyne.			
	The planning proposal is considered consistent with the remaining applicable section 117 Directions and SEPPs.		
Mapping Provided - s	₃55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? Ye	25		
Comment : The planning proposal includes appropriate proposed LEP Zoning, FSR and Maximum Building Height control maps.			
Community consulta	tion - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consulta	tion been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	Council intends to publicly exhibit the planning proposal for 28 days, and to notify adjoining landowners in writing. The Department supports this intention.		
Additional Director General's requirements			
Are there any additional	Director General's requirements? No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Overall adequacy of	the proposal		
Does the proposal meet	the adequacy criteria? Yes		
If No, comment :			
Proposal Assessment			
Principal LEP:			
Due Date :			
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	Canada Bay Local Environmental Plan 2013 was gazetted on 19 July 2013.		
Assessment Criteria			
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal follows the pre-Gateway Review for the amendment of the current zoning, FSR and height controls applying to the site, which was determined on 17 August 2015. A planning proposal is the appropriate mechanism by which to implement the proposed LEP amendments.		
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is considered consistent with A Plan for Growing Sydney (2014) and Canada Bay Local Planning Strategy 2010-2030, as it will facilitate the activation of a redundant site and the provision of additional housing within an existing urban area, in a medium density location with good access to public transport and Drummoyne village centre, Birkenhead Point Shopping Centre, Parramatta and Sydney CBD.		
Environmental social economic impacts :	Environmental: The land is not identified as containing critical habitat or threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. Given that the site has been previously developed and is located within an urban area, it is highly unlikely that any such habitat, species, populations or communities exist on the site.		
	The proponent's preliminary Environmental Assessment has found that all soil test results were below the National Environmental Protection Measure (NEPM, 1999) guideline concentration for residential sites, but does not discuss the presence of acid sulfate soils. Whilst the proposal is inconsistent with Section 117 Direction 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils, and		

ythe offeet, Braining				
		nada Bay	LEP 2013) is considered suf or exposed so as to cause e	
	comment in relation to	o stormwa	g flood prone in the LEP and ter management or flooding Prone Land is not applicable	, other than to state that
	The planning proposal includes a review of "traffic and parking aspects", which calculates that the proposal would generate 30-40 two-way vehicle movements in the weekday afternoon peak hours. This represents an additional 10-20 movements per hour compared to those generated by the former sports club use in the weekday afternoon peak period. The review concludes that the proposal would result in minor increases in traffic generation and no material impact on amenity or operation of the surrounding road network. Notwithstanding, it is recommended that NSW Roads and Maritime Services is consulted on the planning proposal.			
	the exception of the se surrounding land (exc 4.4(2A) of the LEP stat map) does not apply t surrounding R2 and R	ervice stat ept the se tes that th o multi dw 3-zoned la	tion (zoned B4) subject to an rvice station) is also identifi e maximum FSR for a buildin relling housing or a resident	ed as 'Area 1', and clause ng (as indicated on the FSR ial flat building. The leight controls of 8.5 metres .
	In this context, it is considered that an appropriately designed development in accordance with appropriate setbacks required by a DCP (to be exhibited), would be unlikely to result in any significant adverse impact.			
	Social/Economic: It is considered that the proposal will facilitate positive social and economic effects by way of its contribution to housing supply and choice, and its contribution to the viability of existing services and public transport in the area and nearby established centres.			
Assessment Process	3			
Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d) :	Department of Educat Transport for NSW - R			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		

If no, provide reasons :

Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No

If Yes, reasons :

Identify any additional studies, if required.

2000

¢

To rezone land from RE2 Private Recreation to R3 Medium Density Residential and amend maximum building and floorspace ratio controls at the former Drummoyne Sports Club, 2A Hythe Street, Drummoyne.			
If Other, provide reasons			
Identify any internal cons			
	Identify any internal consultations, if required : No internal consultation required		
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :			
Documents			
Document File Name	DocumentType Name Is Public		
Planning Team Recom	mendation		
Preparation of the plann	ing proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions		
S.117 directions:	 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates 3.3 Home Occupations 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of A Plan for Growing Sydney 		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that the planning proposal is to proceed, subject to the following conditions: 1. Prior to public exhibition, the planning proposal is to be updated as follows: a) site specific controls relating to building setbacks, deep soil planting between buildings, car parking limitations and public 'through-site' links are to be provided by way of a draft Development Control Plan; b) a detailed consideration of the Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Guidelines, and an acid sulphate soils study assessing the appropriateness of the change of land use given the presence of acid sulphate soils is to be included in the planning proposal; and c) proposed building height controls should be expressed in both metres and RL AHD. 2. The planning proposal (as updated) is to be publicly exhibited for 28 days. 3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities: - Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services; and - NSW Department of Education and Communities. 4. A public hearing is not required to be held into this matter. 5. The planning proposal is to be completed within 9 months of the Gateway Determination. The planning proposal is supported as it is consistent with strategic planning framework		
Signature:	objectives, and will contribute to meeting the need for additional dwellings.		
Printed Name:	Diane Sarkies Date: 18/11/15		

÷ 1

94 Q